

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,882	02/10/2004	Ying-Chien Lin	407500	1430
7	590 09/20/2006		EXAM	INER
Harold V. Stotland		HARPER, KEVIN C		
Seyfarth Shaw 42nd Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
55 East Monroe Street			2616	
Chicago, 1L 60603-5803			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Antique Comment	10/775,882	LIN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kevin C. Harper	2616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 J	une 2006						
	s action is non-final.						
		secution as to the merits is					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	=> parto quayro, 1000 0.D. 11, 40	00 0.0. 210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> is/are pending in the applic	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	_						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 June 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
•	tallimor. Note the alternor office	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>							
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
A44-2-b							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:							

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 15, 2006 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. It is noted that claim 3 was dependent on claim 2 before the amendment of June 15, 2006.

### **Drawings**

A replacement drawing was received on June 15, 2006. This drawing is acceptable.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yeh (US 2005/0135422) in view of Bender (US 6,366,561).

Application/Control Number: 10/775,882

Art Unit: 2616

1. Regarding claims 1-2, Yeh discloses a method of transmitting frames in a wireless local area network (fig. 1) through a repeater (fig. 1, item AP-X) and a bridge (fig. 2, item AP-Y). The method comprises the steps of transmitting a frame from a source station ((STA-1) to the repeater (para. 24, lines 1-7) and replacing the content of the BSSID with a bridge identifier (fig. 3, step S310; para. 24, lines 7-13) and transmitting the replaced frame from the repeater to the bridge (para. 24, lines 14-18). The repeater transmits an acknowledgment frame to the source station (para. 24, last three lines).

Page 3

2. However, Yeh does not disclose that the bridge transmits an acknowledgment frame to the repeater and the repeater will not forward the acknowledgment frame to the source station. Bender discloses a repeater (fig. 8A, item 220A) that does not forward data to a source station (fig 8A, access terminal) (figs. 6A, 7A and 8A; fig. 8B, items 320A, 330BA and 110; col. 10, lines 41-59; note: the first access point does not transmit data to the terminal, but forwards the data to the new access point which forwards the data to the terminal). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to not forward an acknowledgment to the source station from the repeater in the invention of Yeh in order to properly route the data after a handoff (Bender, col. 10, lines 11-21).

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yeh in view of Bender as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of applicant's admitted prior art.

Regarding claim 4, in Yeh the standardized IEEE 802.11 data frame includes a 3. distribution field as noted in applicant's admitted prior art on page 1, second paragraph and page 2, second paragraph.

Art Unit: 2616

#### **Conclusion**

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action because claim 3 was amended into claim 1 without including the intervening limitations of claim 2. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To, can be reached at 571-272-7629. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is 571-273-8300. For non-official communications, the examiner's personal fax number is 571-273-3166 and the examiner's e-mail address is kevin.harper@uspto.gov.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,882 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications associated with a customer number is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

September 14, 2006